## ELEASE BUTLER IVY ACADEMY 7350 Cross County Rd. North Charleston, SC 29418 K-5 Elementary School GRADES 68 Students ENROLLMENT Jacinta C. Mikell 843-552-5531 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. Maria L. Goodloe 843-937-6319 Ms. Nancy Cook 843-760-2635 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: UNSATISFACTORY Absolute Ratings of Elementary Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 4 14 66 49 IMPROVEMENT RATING: UNSATISFACTORY ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: This school met 3 out of 5 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

3

Z

### PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	N/A	N/A	N/A
2004	Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory	No

#### DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

# PERCENT OF STUDENT RECORDS MATCHED FOR PURPOSES OF COMPUTING IMPROVEMENT RATING

Percent of students tested in 2003-04 whose 2002-03 test scores were located.

48.5%


#### PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT)

Our School





Mathematics English/Language Arts



### **Definition of Critical Terms**

Advanced
Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient
Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Below Basic
Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP									
	Enrollment 1st Day of Trait	,	/ %		/	/	% Proficient and	Performance Objective	Participation Objective Mos
	h/Langua						40.0	N	
All Students	33	100.0	45.5	45.5	9.1	0.0	13.6	No	Yes
Gender	40	400.0	05.7	44.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Male Female	12 21	100.0 100.0	85.7 26.7	14.3 60.0	0.0 13.3	0.0	0.0 20.0		
Racial/Ethnic Group	21	100.0	20.7	60.0	13.3	0.0	20.0		
White	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
African-American	33	100.0	45.5	45.5	9.1	0.0	13.6	I/S	1/S
Asian/Pacific Islanders	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not disabled	29	100.0	40.0	50.0	10.0	0.0	15.0		
Disabled	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	33	100.0	45.5	45.5	9.1	0.0	13.6		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	33	100.0	45.5	45.5	9.1	0.0	13.6		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	27	100.0	33.3	55.6	11.1	0.0	16.7	I/S	I/S
Full-pay meals	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S		i

Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 15.5%									
All Students	33	100.0	72.7	22.7	4.5	0.0	4.5	No	Yes
Gender									
Male	12	100.0	85.7	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Female	21	100.0	66.7	26.7	6.7	0.0	6.7		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
African-American	33	100.0	72.7	22.7	4.5	0.0	4.5	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not disabled	29	100.0	70.0	25.0	5.0	0.0	5.0		
Disabled	4	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	33	100.0	72.7	22.7	4.5	0.0	4.5		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	33	100.0	72.7	22.7	4.5	0.0	4.5		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	27	100.0	77.8	16.7	5.6	0.0	5.6	I/S	I/S
Full-pay meals	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S		

### DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

Elease buller IV	y Academi	у					
PACT PERFO	RMANC	E BY GF	ADE LE	VEL			
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced
		Englis	sh/Langua				
Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 3	14	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 4	8	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 5	11	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
			Mathemat				
Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 3	14	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 4	8	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 5	11	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

SCHOOL	PROFILE

	Our School		ange from ast Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n= 68)				LIKE GUIS	
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	58.3%	N/C		100.0%	100.0%
Retention rate	4.8%	N/A		3.7%	2.7%
Attendance rate	96.5%	N/A		96.3%	96.4%
Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (ELA) off grade level	0.0%			6.8%	4.6%
Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (Math) off grade level	0.0%			5.3%	3.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented	2.9%	N/A		5.4%	13.5%
On academic plans	N/AV	N/AV		N/A	N/AV
On academic probation	N/AV	N/AV		N/A	N/AV
With disabilities other than speech	2.4%	N/A		8.0%	8.2%
Older than usual for grade	0.0%	N/A		2.3%	0.9%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	0.0%	N/R		0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 9)					
Teachers with advanced degrees	22.2%	N/A		48.7%	51.4%
Continuing contract teachers	11.1%	N/A		81.8%	87.5%
Highly qualified teachers**	100.0%	N/A		92.9%	95.0%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	0.0%			3.2%	0.0%
Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A		83.8%	86.7%
Teacher attendance rate	N/R	N/R		94.7%	94.9%
Average teacher salary	\$31,483	I/S		\$40,045	\$40,760
Prof. development days/teacher	7.0 days	N/R		13.7 days	12.4 days
School					
Principal's years at school	1.0	N/R		4.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	9.5 to 1	N/R		17.2 to 1	18.9 to 1
Prime instructional time	N/R	N/R		89.0%	90.0%
Dollars spent per pupil*	N/A	N/A		\$6,702	\$6,044
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	N/A	N/A		63.9%	65.9%
Opportunities in the arts	Poor	N/R		Good	Good
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	98.8% No	N/R N/R		99.0% Yes	99.0%
		,			Yes
Character development program  * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	N/R	N/A	Our District	Good	Good
Highly qualified to a hove in law and a second and the			88.1%		2.0%
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty				_	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty	/ SCHOOIS^^		87.8% State Objectiv		1.1% te Objective
Highly qualified teachers in this school*	*		65.0%		Yes
riigiiiy qualilleu teatriers iii tiils striool			00.070		103

<sup>\*\*</sup>NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

95.3%

Yes

Student attendance in this school

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
Teachers Students* Parents							
	i eachers	Students	raieillo				
Number of surveys returned	8	15	17				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	50.0%	100.0%	100.0%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	71.4%	100.0%	100.0%				
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	25.0%	100.0%	100.0%				
*Only students at the highest elementary school grade level at this school and th	eir parents were ir	ncluded.					